



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/998,962	10/31/2001	William B. Joyce	Joyce 23	6491
7590	09/27/2004		EXAMINER	
Lester H. Bimbaum 2159 Greenmeadow Drive Macungle, PA 18062				VANNUCCI, JAMES
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2828		

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/998,962	JOYCE, WILLIAM B.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jim Vannucci	2828	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2003.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10-31-01.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: the word "case" in line 1 should be after the word "module". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Uno et al.(5,074,682) in view of Takenaka et al.(5,381,499).

Claims 1 and 16, figure 7 discloses a snout(52) that is disposed in a wall(53) of a laser module case, and an optical fiber assembly(6 & 61) within the snout for alignment with a laser(1).

While the optical fiber assembly disclosed in Uno is movable, it is fixed relative to the snout.

Column 3, lines 34-43 of Takenaka disclose an optical fiber assembly(first support) and a snout(second support) outside the optical fiber assembly(first support) and movably holding the optical fiber assembly(first support) for improved operation under high temperatures(col. 1).

Claims 2, 14 and 17, the optical fiber assembly is of a smaller cross-sectional exterior diameter than the snout cross-sectional inner diameter in both references, and the optical assembly disclosed in figure 1 of Takenaka may move in an X and Y-direction within the snout since the snout disclosed in Takenaka is at an angle to the horizontal.

Claim 3, the snout(52) disclosed in figure 7 of Uno has an inner end and an outer end, and the case has an inner joint(col. 6, lines 50-52) to secure the snout(52) to the optical assembly(6 & 61) at the inner end.

Claims 4 and 15, the case disclosed in Uno has an outer joint(col. 7, lines 64-66) to secure the aligned optical fiber assembly.

Claims 5-6, at least a portion of the inner and the outer joint disclosed in Uno are compliant to some extent.

Claim 7, at least a portion of the case disclosed in Uno is made from a material that is deformable.

Claims 8-9, figure 7 of Uno discloses the use of flange joints(not numbered).

Claims 10-11. Takenaka discloses solder as a type of joint(abstract, last line).

Claims 12-13, Uno discloses welding(col. 6, line 35) as a type of joint.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the above referenced elements disclosed in Takenaka with the laser module disclosed in Uno for improved high temperature operation as disclosed in Takenaka.

Correspondence

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jim Vannucci whose phone number is (571) 272-1820.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Papers related to Technology Center 2800 applications only may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Any transmission not to be considered an official response must be clearly marked "DRAFT". The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The Technology Center Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.



James Vannucci
James Vannucci